

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003

	THE DIG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		68671	1426
09/539,346	03/31/2000	Michael Brauss	00071	
22242 7590 02/27/2003 FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600			EXAMINER	
			DUNN, DREW A	
CHICAGO, IL	60603-3406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/539,346 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Drew A. Dunn

Art Unit 2882

Brauss

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	. m. alia		
A SHO	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	1 10 2/3 1112	
THE M	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
mailing of the period of the p	tate of this communication.	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. I and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. About the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
_			
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2a) 🗌		ction is non-final.	
3) 🗆	the state is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
Disposit	ion of Claims	ic/are pending in the application.	
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	IS/are anowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	15/die lejecteu.	
	Obstantal	13/410 00/00000 10	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
8) 🗶			
	ation Papers		
9) □	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
10)			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner	
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.	
12)			
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
a)	Contisted copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
	a Consisted applies of the priority documents	have been received in Application No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	ty documents have been received in this National Stage Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	if the certified copies not received.	
4.41	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. 9 119(6).	
a)		sional application has been received.	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
	ment(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6) Other:	
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		



UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No. 9

Application Number: 09/539,346

Filing Date: 03/31/2000

Applicant(s): Brauss

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant is advised of the following error in the abstract:

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because its length exceeds 150 words.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-15, drawn to X-ray head support, classified in class 378, subclass 193.

Art Unit: 2882

- Claims 1-23, 27 and 28, drawn to X-ray diffraction, classified in class 378, II. subclass 71.
- Claims 24-26, drawn to measuring strain on load bearing members, classified in III. class 73, subclass 760.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as moving and aligning other types of portable X-ray system sources without the need of determining the strain on the Xray support. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as X-ray diffraction without need of determining the strain on the X-ray support. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2882

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: none has been cited at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Drew A. Dunn* whose telephone number is (703) 305-0024. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8:00 AM to 3:00 PM Monday thru Thursday and every other Friday (second Friday of the bi-week).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim, can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722 or (703)308-7724.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [drew.dunn@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Drew A. Dunn

Dizerot

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2882

24 February 2003